

## ROCHDALE SOUTH TOWNSHIP DELEGATED SUB-COMMITTEE

### MINUTES OF MEETING Wednesday, 9 October 2024

**PRESENT:** Councillor Gallagher (Chair); Councillors Ali, Anstee, Arshad, Beal, Ellahi, P. Massey, Meredith, Aiza Rashid, Sheerin and A. Smith

**OFFICERS:** S. Aikman (Highways), A. Johnson (Committee Services) and V. White (Townships).

**ALSO IN ATTENDANCE:** Five members of the public in relation to their applications for funding.

#### 11 **APOLOGIES**

Apologies for absence were received from Councillors Ahmed and R. Massey.

#### 12 **DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST**

In relation to Agenda item 7 – Councillor M. Arshad declared a prejudicial interest by virtue of holding property nearby. Councillor M. Arshad therefore left the room and did not vote during consideration of this item.

#### 13 **ITEMS FOR EXCLUSION OF PUBLIC AND PRESS**

There were no items for the exclusion of public and press.

#### 14 **URGENT ITEMS OF BUSINESS**

There were no urgent items of business received.

#### 15 **MINUTES**

##### **Resolved:**

That the minutes of the Rochdale South Township Delegated Sub-Committee meeting held on 16 July 2024 be approved as a correct record.

#### 16 **ROCHDALE SOUTH TOWNSHIP FUNDS 2024/25**

The Sub-Committee gave consideration to a report of the Townships Business Officer which provided an update on revenue and capital expenditure, commitments and balances of Rochdale South Township Funds 2024/25 and to enable the allocations of funds to proposed projects.

Members were informed that the Rochdale South Township Capital Funds available for allocation was £67,289 and that the Rochdale South Township Revenue (Projects) Funds available for allocation was £33,651.

In considering the funding applications, the Sub-Committee received two verbal representations from the public applicants in attendance at the meeting.

**Alternatives considered:** Members were asked to decide whether or not to approve the allocations of funds to projects as appropriate.

**Resolved:**

1. That the expenditure, commitments and balances of the Rochdale South Township Revenue and Capital Funds, as detailed in appendices 1 and 2 of the submitted report, be noted;
2. That the decisions made under delegated authority as detailed in appendix 3 of the submitted report be noted;
3. That the Sub-Committee notes that the Head of Townships & Libraries has assessed the proposed projects to be considered for funding against the criteria of eligibility for the Rochdale South Township Fund, the priorities of the Township and any specific risks have been identified within the report;
4. That the Head of Townships & Libraries, in consultation with the Chair, Vice Chair and opposition spokesperson of the Sub-Committee, be given delegated authority to make decisions on any deferred projects;
5. That applications for Rochdale South Township Funds 2024/25 as outlined at appendix 4 of the submitted report be dealt with as follows:

<b>Ref</b>	<b>Project</b>	<b>Decision</b>
RP/14/24	Reconnect and engage	<b>Approved</b> £12,450 from Rochdale South Township Revenue fund.  Subject to supplying revised project plan around how the grant will be used as Rochdale North Township is unable to support. Information to be circulated for final delegated agreement.
RP/16/24	Pride in Place	<b>Approved</b> £8954.98 from Rochdale South Township Revenue fund.
TC/14/24	Nicholson Street and Deeplish Street parking provision	<b>Approved</b> £49,900  (£39,920 from Rochdale South Township Capital fund, £8378 from ward fund, £534 per member = £1602 from members fund.)
TC/15/24	Oulder Hill Shops Parade and surrounding residential area waiting restrictions plus build outs (Option 2)	<b>Approved</b> £25,500  (£20,400 from Rochdale South Township Capital fund and £5100 from ward fund.)
TC/16/24	Springfield Park path for inclusive cycling provision	<b>Withdrawn</b>

TC/17/24	Vivian Street parking provision	<b>Refused</b>
----------	---------------------------------	----------------

**Reasons for the decision:**

Management of the Rochdale South Township Fund was delegated to the Rochdale South Township Delegated Sub-Committee.

Rochdale South Township Funds were allocated to projects that benefitted the Township’s community and environment and realised the Township’s priorities.

To enable the Sub-Committee to monitor and review the use of the Rochdale South Township Funds to ensure continued efficient and effective use of the funds.

**Eligible for Call-in:** Yes

**17 UPDATE ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF 20MPH ZONES ON RESIDENTIAL STREETS ACROSS THE BOROUGH OF ROCHDALE**

Consideration was given to a report of the Cabinet Member for Highways and Facilities / Director of Economy and Place that provided an update regarding the implementation of 20 miles per hour (MPH) zones on residential streets across the Borough of Rochdale.

The Senior Highways Officer (Strategy) was in attendance to present the report and to answer the questions of the Township Members.

**Resolved:**

1. That the report and proposed implementation of the borough-wide 20mph commitment be noted.
2. That the phased implementation of the 20mph commitment be supported.
3. That it be noted a minimum of five schemes had been identified in each Township, which sought to provide a balanced rollout and ensure consistency of delivery across Rochdale Borough.
4. That the following Phase Two Scheme implementation be noted:
  - Heywood - Wilton Street Area, Heywood – Full traffic calming scheme, approx: £60,000.
  - Pennines - Bellshill Crescent Area, Smallbridge & Firgrove – Hybrid(partial traffic calming, majority has existing traffic calming) approx: £34,000.
  - Rochdale North - Caldershaw Road Area, Caldershaw – Full traffic calming scheme, approx.:£130,000.
  - Rochdale South - Norford Way, Norden – Full traffic calming scheme, approx.: £55,000.
  - Middleton - Cheapside Area, Middleton – Full traffic calming scheme, approx.: £110,000.

5. That it be acknowledged further funding would be required in future years to ensure all residential streets were subject to a 20mph speed limit.
6. That the Director of Economy and Place, in consultation with the Chair of Rochdale South Township Committee, and the Portfolio Holder for Highways and Facilities, be delegated authority to resolve none controversial objections of five or less, to the traffic regulation orders promoted in association with the 20mph commitment. Should the objection be deemed contentious or there were more than five, the matter would be referred to the Rochdale South Township Committee.

**Reason for the decision**

The scheme was recommended and promoted in-line with the decision made at Full Council on 13th October 2021.

**Alternatives considered and rejected**

Not to support the recommendations set out in the report – this option would be contrary to the decision taken by Full Council on 13th October 2021.