

APPENDIX 4

ROCHDALE TOWNSHIP FUNDS 2017/18

Projects Fund 2017/18 available for allocation = £32,783

| Rochdale Township Projects Fund 2017/18 - Proposed projects for decision | | | | | |
|--|--|----------------------|--------------------------------|--|-----------|
| Ref | Name of Project | Ward | Fund Criteria & Priorities Met | Request Originator | Cost |
| RP/10/17 | AMPSO Helping Hand Project (Healthy Lifestyle for Rochdale's Asian Community) | Milkstone & Deeplish | Yes People | Asian Medical and Professionals Support Organisation | £2,300 |
| Project Description | Asian Medical and Professionals Support Organisation propose to deliver four workshops about alcohol and drugs, mental health, diabetes and strokes to raise awareness and educate the local community about these health issues. The facilitators will share their knowledge with participants, provide support information and sign post participants to relevant services. (Application form providing information about the proposed project including breakdown of expenditure at Appendix 4A) | | | | |
| Risk implication/ Alternatives considered | None | | | | |
| RP/11/17 | Castlemere Community Centre Training Suite Refurbishment | Milkstone & Deeplish | Yes Place People | Castlemere Community Centre | £4,797.99 |
| Project Description | Castlemere Community Centre are refurbishing the training rooms and require new furniture and equipment to support the centre's provision of customised training sessions to develop the skills accreditation, employment potential and confidence of the local community. (Application form providing information about the proposed project including breakdown of expenditure at Appendix 4B) | | | | |
| Risk implication/ Alternatives considered | None | | | | |
| RP/12/17 | Bury Road/Sandy Lane Signal Junction Study | Bamford | Yes Place | Councillor Sullivan | £5,000 |
| Project Description | UTC (TfGM) to be instructed to undertake a detailed study of the traffic signal junction at Bury Road and Sandy Lane, Rochdale to identify improvements and associated costs to optimise traffic flows and investigate the feasibility of introducing pedestrian controlled facilities at the junction and the effect this may have on traffic queues. The signals assist traffic flows at the crossing of two strategic roads, classified as the B6452 and B6222, located to the west of the town centre. The roads carry a mixture of both 'through traffic' and local traffic and it is reported that at peak times long queues form on each arm and the area becomes heavily congested. A report will be submitted to Township detailing the findings of the investigation. (Project brief at Appendix 4C) | | | | |
| Comments | Highways fully support any restrictions on the highway that improve the safe and efficient movement of traffic. | | | | |
| Risk implication/ Alternatives considered | None | | | | |

| Rochdale Township Projects Fund 2017/18 - Proposed projects for decision | | | | | |
|--|---|------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------|
| Ref | Name of Project | Ward | Fund Criteria & Priorities Met | Request Originator | Cost |
| RP/13/17 | High Street and Union Street Car Park Shrub Bed Removal | Central Rochdale | Yes Place | Environmental Management | £3,157 |
| Project Description | Removal of the shrub bed in the vicinity of High Street and Union Street Car Park, Rochdale (from the underpass entrance along St Mary's Gate and Union Street). The shrub bed is overgrown with no aesthetic qualities and the location attracts anti-social behaviour. (Project brief at Appendix 4D) | | | | |
| Risk implication/ Alternatives considered | Scheme is subject to clearance from Highways and United Utilities as there are issues with pipes and cables. Locations of these pipes and cables will need to be identify prior to any work taking place. | | | | |
| RP/15/17 | Walking Fit & Connected (WFC) | Various Wards | Yes People | Kramblers Rochdale | See comments |
| Project Description | Kramblers Rochdale aims to address the poor levels of activity/health management within the BME community (aged 40+) and support work on tackling obesity and improve the mobility within this target group. Older adults from the south Asian community in Wardleworth, Hamer, Newbold, Deeplish and Falinge are encouraged to participate in activities that will improve health, fitness, mental wellbeing and social interaction. Kramblers Rochdale propose undertaking outreach in the local community to identify and engage with an increased number of older generation south Asian people; arrange awareness meetings/workshops to share and highlight the benefits of walking, social networking and healthy eating; organise fortnightly walks across Rochdale, Greater Manchester and/or North West; deliver weekly yoga and healthy eating/diet sessions. (Application form providing information about the proposed project including breakdown of expenditure at Appendix 4E) | | | | |
| Comments | The amount of grant required is £6,678. Councillor Daalat Ali's has agreed to contribute £1,236 from his Member's Fund and the applicant has applied to Rochdale Township's Project Funds for the shortfall of £5,442. | | | | |
| Risk implication/ Alternatives considered | None | | | | |

Capital Fund 2017/18 available for allocation = £95,988

| Rochdale Township Capital Fund 2017/18 - Proposed projects for decision | | | | | |
|---|---|----------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|--------|
| Ref | Name of Project | Ward | Fund Criteria & Priorities Met | Request Originator | Cost |
| TC/11/17 | Sandbrook Way Waiting Restrictions | Milkstone & Deeplish | Yes Place | Zen Internet | £2,500 |
| Project Description | Introduction of waiting restrictions on Sandbrook Way, Rochdale to supplement existing restrictions at the entrance to the business/retail park. Restrictions to be extended to cover the full length of Sandbrook Way to address indiscriminate parking affecting access/visibility and HGVs using the road as an overnight rest/parking area. All businesses within the business park have off street parking facilities. Estimated cost of the scheme £5,000 and Zen Internet have agreed match funding of £2,500. (Project brief at Appendix 4F) | | | | |
| Risk implication/ Alternatives considered | Risks that may change the estimate are objections being received during the legal process or amendments made to the scheme during its development resulting in additional costs. Also if the scheme requires amendments so as to satisfy the requirements of the emergency services or TfGM and if Ward Councillors require further information, justification or scheme content. The estimate does not include for dealing with or reporting back to committee during the legal process and dealing with any objections may increase the cost. Also the estimate assumes that there will be no major amendments made to the scheme at committee resulting in the scheme requiring readvertising. | | | | |
| TC/12/17 | Hill Street/March Street Area Waiting Restrictions | Milkstone & Deeplish | Yes Place | Owners of Daleprint | £7,000 |
| Project Description | Introduction of waiting restrictions in the Hill Street, March Street and Chichester Street industrial area to address indiscriminate parking affecting the daily operations of local businesses. An investigation and consultation with all businesses in the area to be undertaken prior to any waiting restrictions being advertised to ensure the proposals are appropriate for all. (Project brief at Appendix 4G) | | | | |
| Risk implication/ Alternatives considered | Risks that may change the estimate are objections being received during the legal process or amendments made to the scheme during its development resulting in additional costs. Also if the scheme requires amendments so as to satisfy the requirements of the emergency services or TfGM and if Ward Councillors require further information, justification or scheme content. The estimate does not include for dealing with or reporting back to committee during the legal process and dealing with any objections may increase the cost. Also the estimate assumes that there will be no major amendments made to the scheme at committee resulting in the scheme requiring readvertising. | | | | |
| TC/13/17 | Shawclough Road Safety Scheme | Healey | Yes Place | Councillor Heakin | £7,500 |
| Project Description | Improve the safety of drivers exiting the junction of Shawclough Way into Shawclough Road, Rochdale with enhanced lining, red textureflex to centre lining, a red box with 'SLOW' marking and extra signage including the moving of the interactive sign currently south east of Shawclough Way to a point north west of Shawclough Way to give drivers advanced warning of their speed when approaching the junction. (Project brief at Appendix 4H) | | | | |
| Risk implication/ Alternatives considered | The estimate assumes the road surface does not need repairing to allow for red textureflex to be laid and there is sufficient width in footway at the new location for the re-sting of the interactive sign. | | | | |

Rochdale Township Capital Fund 2017/18 - Proposed projects for decision

| Ref | Name of Project | Ward | Fund Criteria & Priorities Met | Request Originator | Cost |
|--|--|------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------|
| TC/14/17 | Disabled access toilet (RWVA) | Central Rochdale | Yes People | Bangladesh Assoc & Community Project | £5,298 |
| Project Description | Bangladesh Association & Community Project (BACP) propose to modify 131 Entwisle Road, Rochdale to make the building and its facilities accessible to meet the needs of the elderly and disabled people who seek the support services from Rochdale Women's Welfare Association. The building provides the privacy required by users of the services delivered by Rochdale Women's Welfare Association and an accessible toilet on the ground floor of the building will meet the needs of independent wheelchair users and people with mobility impairments to ensure inclusive service delivery. (Application form providing information about the proposed project including breakdown of expenditure at Appendix 4I) | | | | |
| Risk implication/ Alternatives considered | None | | | | |
| TC/16/17 | South Street Drainage Improvements | Central Rochdale | Yes Place | Ward Councillors | £5,864 |
| Project Description | Supply and installation of two drainage channels, new pipework and connections on South Street, Rochdale to reduce footway water flows. (Project brief at Appendix 4J) | | | | |
| Risk implication/ Alternatives considered | Estimate assumes there are no utility apparatus in the location that require diversion or make the scheme unachievable. | | | | |
| TC/17/17 | Rhodes Crescent Resurfacing | Balderstone & Kirkholt | Yes Place | Councillor Farnell | £41,000 |
| Project Description | Improve the surface condition of Rhodes Crescent, Rochdale with micro asphalt of 3500m ² . (Project brief at Appendix 4K) | | | | |
| Risk implication/ Alternatives considered | Estimate assumes no underground services will be affected and the contractor availability as the scheme would have to be fitted into 2018 micro programme. | | | | |
| TC/18/17 | Edenfield Road junction with Elmsfield Avenue (Norden Arms) and Edenfield Road junction with Moorland Avenue Waiting Restrictions | Norden | Yes Place | Resident | £4,000 |
| Project Description | Introduction of no waiting restriction from the junction of Elmsfield Avenue and Edenfield Road up to the bus stop outside the Norden Arms, Rochdale to clear sight lines for vehicles turning onto Edenfield Road. Waiting restrictions to be introduced on Edenfield Road at its junction with Moorland Avenue as indiscriminate parking on Edenfield Road is obstructing visibility for vehicles exiting Moorland Avenue. (Project brief at Appendix 4L) | | | | |
| Risk implication/ Alternatives considered | Risks that may change the estimate are objections being received during the legal process or amendments made to the scheme during its development resulting in additional costs. Also if the scheme requires amendments so as to satisfy the requirements of the emergency services or TfGM and if Ward Councillors require further information, justification or scheme content. The estimate does not include for dealing with or reporting back to committee during the legal process and dealing with any objections may increase the cost. Also the estimate assumes that there will be no major amendments made to the scheme at committee resulting in the scheme requiring readvertising. | | | | |

Rochdale Township Capital Fund 2017/18 - Proposed projects for decision

| Ref | Name of Project | Ward | Fund Criteria & Priorities Met | Request Originator | Cost |
|--|---|--------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------|---------|
| TC/19/17 | Yorkshire Street Loading Restrictions | Central Rochdale | Yes Place | Highways | £9,000 |
| Project Description | Route study of the section of Yorkshire Street between Lacker Street and Hamer Lane, Rochdale to identify potential locations for new loading restrictions and the operational times of the restrictions as loading activity is affecting the flow of traffic and visibility at junctions. This section of road is one of the important strategic routes and most heavily congested with a mixture of both 'through traffic' and local traffic. Restrictions will be introduced where deemed necessary along the route. (Project brief at Appendix 4M) | | | | |
| Risk implication/ Alternatives considered | Risks that may change the estimate are objections being received during the legal process or amendments made to the scheme during its development resulting in additional costs. Also if the scheme requires amendments so as to satisfy the requirements of the emergency services or TfGM and if Ward Councillors require further information, justification or scheme content. The estimate does not include for dealing with or reporting back to committee during the legal process and dealing with any objections may increase the cost. Also the estimate assumes that there will be no major amendments made to the scheme at committee resulting in the scheme requiring readvertising. | | | | |
| TC/20/17 | Rooley Moor Road Waiting Restrictions | Spotland & Falinge | Yes Place | Councillor Cecile Biant | £4,500 |
| Project Description | Route study along the length of Rooley Moor Road, Rochdale to identify potential locations for new waiting restrictions to address indiscriminate parking affecting the flow of traffic and visibility at junctions and bends. Ward Councillors will be consulted on findings of the study before a scheme is prepared to implement any restrictions proposed. (Project brief at Appendix 4N) | | | | |
| Risk implication/ Alternatives considered | Risks that may change the estimate are objections being received during the legal process or amendments made to the scheme during its development resulting in additional costs. Also if the scheme requires amendments so as to satisfy the requirements of the emergency services or TfGM and if Ward Councillors require further information, justification or scheme content. The estimate does not include for dealing with or reporting back to committee during the legal process and dealing with any objections may increase the cost. Also the estimate assumes that there will be no major amendments made to the scheme at committee resulting in the scheme requiring readvertising. | | | | |
| TC/21/17 | Dell Road Improvements | Healey | No | Councillor Heakin | £28,000 |
| Project Description | Patching and filling of potholes on the unadopted highway known as Dell Road, Rochdale from the newly resurfaced section to the borough border. (Project brief and plan at Appendix 4O). | | | | |
| Risk implication/ Alternatives considered | <p>Rochdale Township Fund's terms and conditions, under items that may not be funded, state repairing unadopted roads. Dell Road is unadopted highway and there is a risk that the future maintenance will become the responsibility of Rochdale Borough Council if this scheme is implemented. If the road has significantly further degraded this may change the cost of the works.</p> <p>It is not the Council's responsibility to repair and maintain this or any other unadopted road, the Council only being liable for maintaining adopted Highways. There are provisions for maintenance within Highways legislation placing responsibility on the owners whose properties front on to the stretch of unadopted road requiring repair. Ownership of all interests fronting Dell Road have been established via the Land Register and it is they who are responsible for its maintenance. If the Council was to maintain this portion of road, it would make itself very vulnerable for all future maintenance liability for the road, for which there is no budget. Given the provisions of Highway legislation there is little to justify maintenance of this road by the Council in what is a predominantly rural setting with limited vehicular traffic. If authorised it sets a dangerous precedent for claims for maintenance by the Council of other unadopted roads in the borough which are in a similarly poor condition and of which there are many.</p> | | | | |

Rochdale Township Capital Fund 2017/18 - Proposed projects for decision

| Ref | Name of Project | Ward | Fund Criteria & Priorities Met | Request Originator | Cost |
|--|---|--------------------|--------------------------------|--|--------|
| TC/22/17 | Dropped kerb at Springhill Hospice Tea Room | Kingsway | Yes Place People | Springhill Hospice Tea Room | £500 |
| Project Description | Installation of a dropped kerb on Broad Lane, Rochdale to assist people with mobility issues travelling from the car park to use the new access ramp to access the Craven Tea Room, Springhill Hospice, Rochdale. (Project brief at Appendix 4P) | | | | |
| Risk implication/ Alternatives considered | None | | | | |
| TC/23/17 | Denehurst Play Area | Spotland & Falinge | Yes Place People | Environmental Management | £8,977 |
| Project Description | Enhance the play area in Denehurst Park, Rochdale with two pieces of toddlers play equipment (inclusive low level roundabout and multi user boat springer) to offer play opportunities for children of all abilities. Improvements to the play area will complement the new path network that now makes the entire park completely accessible and attract the local community/visitors to enjoy Denehurst Park's facilities. (Project brief at Appendix 4Q) | | | | |
| Risk implication/ Alternatives considered | None | | | | |
| TC/24/17 | Kingsway Waiting Restrictions | Kingsway | Yes Place | Highways | £3,500 |
| Project Description | Introduction of waiting restrictions along the north west side of Kingsway on the approach to the signals at Merinall Close/Wallhead Road, Rochdale to improve the efficiency of the junction. Two lanes are formed on the approach to the signals and parked vehicles in the nearside lane restrict the number of vehicles able to queue. It is proposed to review the whole area around the junction as there are currently no restrictions on the north west side of Kingsway in the vicinity of the junction. (Project brief at Appendix 4R) | | | | |
| Risk implication/ Alternatives considered | Risks that may change the estimate are objections being received during the legal process or amendments made to the scheme during its development resulting in additional costs. Also if the scheme requires amendments so as to satisfy the requirements of the emergency services or TfGM and if Ward Councillors require further information, justification or scheme content. The estimate does not include for dealing with or reporting back to committee during the legal process and dealing with any objections may increase the cost. Also the estimate assumes that there will be no major amendments made to the scheme at committee resulting in the scheme requiring readvertising. | | | | |
| TC/25/17 | Falinge Park Buildings Doors | Spotland & Falinge | Yes Place | Vintage Worx Community Development Trust | £5,220 |
| Project Description | Vintage Worx propose to replace the external doors to the buildings they occupy to ensure its security and comply with insurance criteria. The doors to be replaced with security standard specification doors with steel faced construction and framework include the entrance door to the Coach House, the front and rear door to the current cafe building, the fire exit door to the current cafe building and the rear door to the Annex building. (Application form providing information about the proposed project including breakdown of expenditure at Appendix 4S) | | | | |
| Risk implication/ Alternatives considered | None | | | | |