

# TOWNSHIP PROJECT BRIEF AND COMMISSIONING FORM



**ROCHDALE**  
BOROUGH COUNCIL

**Township Ref**      **Service Ref**

<b>Township</b>	Pennines	PTC/07/18	
<b>Name of Request Originator</b>	Littleborough Lakeside Ward Councillors		
<b>Project Manager &amp; Ext</b>	M Aiken		
<b>Completed by</b>	Paul McCaffrey	<b>Date Completed</b>	31/05/2018
<b>A. DETAILS OF SCHEME</b>			
<b>Scheme Name &amp; Location</b>	Calder Avenue area verge parking scheme		
<b>Scheme Description (include as much information as possible)</b>	<p>There is an issue in this area with vehicle parking causing damage to grass verges, highways have considered the following options-</p> <p>Timber posts / Bollard This option would resolve an isolated issue however as this seems to be an estate wide problem, we would simply push the problem further up the road if we installed posts outside of a single property. We would have to look at an estate wide scheme and posts/bollards would not be the most cost effective way of preventing damage to the grass verge.</p> <p>Partial verge surfacing Provide a 500mm running strip within the existing verge to prevent damage from parked cars on Calder Ave, Mount Ave, Springfield Ave, Brooklyn Ave and Moorfield Avenue.</p> <p>This option would both protect the existing verge and also prevent obstructive parking. This would be highways recommended approach.</p>		
<b>Scheme comments from Highways</b>	<p>The costs to provide a running strip within the full estate would be as follows</p> <p>Calder Avenue 258m<sup>2</sup> of verge =£15480 Moorfield Ave 25m<sup>2</sup> of Verge = £1500 Mount Ave 160m<sup>2</sup> of Verge = £9600 Springfield Ave 30m<sup>2</sup> of Verge = £1800 Brooklyn Ave 120m<sup>2</sup> of Verge = £7260</p>		
<b>Desired Outcome (what is required by the scheme)</b>	Prevent damage to grass verges		
<b>Township Priorities Met</b>	Place		
<b>Land Owner</b>	Rochdale Council		
<b>Public Consultation</b>	No		
<b>Proposed Source of Funding</b>	Township Capital		

## B. ESTIMATE

### Assumptions made during preparation of estimate

No underground services will require relocating

### Risks that may change estimate

Underground services require relocating as part of this scheme.

<b>Total Estimated Fees</b>	10% on top of any option chosen		
<b>Total Estimated Works Costs</b>	Option + Fee		
<b>**Total Cost Estimate valid for a 6 month period</b>	Option + Fee		
<b>Amount Approved by Township</b>		<b>Cost Code</b>	

### C. TIMESCALES

<b>Estimated Start Date</b>	Within 2 months of approval		
<i>(start date subject to commissioning within three months of application)</i>			
<b>Evidence</b>			
<i>eg start within two months of receiving commission. Evidence: fees being expended on system</i>			
<b>Completion Date</b>	Varies depending on option chosen		
<b>Evidence</b>			
<i>eg completion within six months of start date. Evidence: completion of works on site and settlement of final account</i>			

### D. AUTHORISATION

<b>I have read the terms and conditions provided with this form and agree to the funds being allocated as approved at Committee</b>			
<b>Date Committee Approved Scheme</b>			
<b>Signature of Head of Service</b>		<b>Date</b>	
<b>Signature of Townships &amp; Communities Manager</b>		<b>Date</b>	
<b>Signature of Township Chair</b>		<b>Date</b>	

### E. BENEFITS/OUTCOMES (FOR COMPLETION BY HIGHWAYS & ENGINEERING SERVICE ONLY)

<b>TAMP Objectives</b>		<b>LTP Criteria</b>	
		<b>Improve Safety</b>	
<b>Accessibility</b>		<b>Accident Reduction</b>	
<b>Condition</b>		<b>Reduce Congestion</b>	
<b>Township Priority</b>		<b>Improve Network</b>	

\*\* Based on decision to proceed given in (timescale) and including scope/assumptions are correct.

#### KEY

	To be completed by whoever receives the original proposal
	To be completed by Service/Provider after discussion with the Township Office
	To be completed by Township Councillor/Officer