

# TOWNSHIP PROJECT BRIEF AND COMMISSIONING FORM (2016/17)



**ROCHDALE**  
BOROUGH COUNCIL

<b>Township Ref</b>	<b>Service Ref</b>
---------------------	--------------------

<b>Township</b>	Rochdale		
<b>Name of Request Originator</b>	Cabinet Member Councillor Neil Emmott		
<b>Project Manager &amp; Ext</b>	Nathan McKendrey		
<b>Completed by</b>	Paul Wotton	<b>Date Completed</b>	1 Oct 2018

## A. DETAILS OF SCHEME

<b>Scheme Name &amp; Location</b>	Consult with residents re: residents parking at Chadwick Hall Road, Ford Gardens, & Yealand Close. Bamford Ward, Rochdale
<b>Scheme Description (include as much information as possible)</b>	<p>Consultation with residents about the possibility of introducing residents only parking along Chadwick Hall Road, Ford Gardens, &amp; Yealand Close to prevent occupiers, staff and visitors to nearby shops and business premises on Bury Road parking on these streets.</p> <p>Parking can restrict the flow of traffic along the roads as well as causing some difficulty entering residential driveways.</p> <p>Principal cause is due to the presence of shops and other premises situated nearby on Bury Road.</p> <p>The matter of indiscriminate parking can be addressed if desired by implementing waiting restrictions and in respect of Chadwick Hall Road; on 29 June 2017 the Committee declined to fund such restrictions.</p> <p>The status quo is not considered to be sustainable.</p> <p>Due to longstanding issues in this area Highways Officers request that funding be granted to consult with residents about the introduction of a residents' parking scheme.</p>
<b>Scheme comments from Highways</b>	Highways would fully support any restrictions on the highway that improve the safe and efficient movement of traffic.
<b>Desired Outcome (what is required by the scheme)</b>	A safe and efficient highway.
<b>Township Priorities Met</b>	
<b>Land Owner</b>	Rochdale Council
<b>Public Consultation</b>	Yes
<b>Proposed Source of Funding</b>	Select source of funding

## B. ESTIMATE

Assumptions made during preparation of estimate

- The scheme content is fully understood by the Township Committee and has the full support of the Township Committee, especially the local ward councillors.
- There will be no amendments to the schemes once commissioning documents have been approved and signed off.
- The promotion of a Traffic Order is a statutory process and must be completed accordingly.
- The estimate does not include for dealing with or reporting back to committee any objections received during the legal process. To deal with objections may increase the cost due to staff time having to be expended.
- This estimate assumes that there will be no major amendments made to scheme at committee resulting the scheme requiring re-advertising.

#### Risks that may change estimate

- Objections being received during the legal process or amendments made to the scheme during its development will result in additional costs.
- The scheme requires amendments so as to satisfy the requirements of the emergency services or TfGM.
- Ward Councillors require further information, justification or scheme content.

<b>Total Estimated Fees</b>	£1500		
<b>Total Estimated Works Costs</b>	£0		
<b>**Total Cost Estimate valid for a 12 month period</b>	£1500		
<b>Amount Approved by Township</b>		<b>Cost Code</b>	

#### C. TIMESCALES

<b>Estimated Start Date</b>	To be assessed on receipt of the signed commissioning document.
-----------------------------	---

#### Evidence

- A start date will be identified once the commissioning document has been approved and received by Highways, this will be depend on staff availability and workload.
- It is envisaged that a start date will be within 12 months of receiving the commission.
- Evidence of the work commencing will be the expenditure of fees

<b>Completion Date</b>	Dependent on start date but will be at least 6 months after commencement of design
------------------------	--

#### Evidence

- A completion date will be dependent on the availability of staff both in Highways and Legal.
- It will also be dependent on the level of objection should that be the case.
- Evidence of the completion of works on site and settlement of final account.

#### D. AUTHORISATION

**I have read the terms and conditions provided with this form and agree to the funds being allocated as approved at Committee**

**Date Committee Approved Scheme**

**Signature of Head of Service**

**Date**

<b>Signature of Principal Townships Officer</b>		<b>Date</b>	
<b>Signature of Township Chair</b>		<b>Date</b>	

**E. BENEFITS/OUTCOMES (FOR COMPLETION BY HIGHWAYS & ENGINEERING SERVICE ONLY)**

<b>TAMP Objectives</b>		<b>LTP Criteria</b>		
		<b>Improve Safety</b>		
<b>Accessibility</b>	X	<b>Accident Reduction</b>		
<b>Condition</b>		<b>Reduce Congestion</b>		
<b>Township Priority</b>	X	<b>Improve Network</b>	X	

*\*\* Based on decision to proceed given in (timescale) and including scope/assumptions are correct.*

**KEY**

	To be completed by whoever receives the original proposal
	To be completed by Service/Provider after discussion with the Township Office
	To be completed by Township Councillor/Officer