

APPENDIX 4

PENNINES TOWNSHIP FUNDS 2020/21

Projects Fund 2020/21 available for allocation = £65,978

Pennines Township Projects Fund 2020/21 - Proposed projects for decision					
Ref No	Name of Project	Ward	Fund Criteria & Priorities Met	Request Originator	Cost
PF/04/20	BEAT Survey for Residents Only Parking	Littleborough Lakeside	Yes Place	Councillors Besford & Hartley	£2,000
Project Description	A BEAT survey on Leighton Avenue, Knowl View and Dale View, Littleborough that will involve the recording of all the registrations of vehicles parked within these specified areas every 1-2 hours. The results of the BEAT survey will be used to determine cars parked that are not part of the local traffic, typically a commuter or a visitor who park on a street travels to work or for leisure and returns to their vehicle and leaves. The survey will identify the need and potential problems that a Residents Parking Permit Scheme may cause if implemented in the area and provides the Council will a robust justification for removing the highway rights of all other road users and a privilege to residents only. A report with Highways Officer recommendations will identify if there is a quantifiable parking problem in the area and if that problem can be resolved by waiting restrictions in the first instance in accordance with the Residents Parking Policy. (Project brief at Appendix 4A)				
Risk implication	It is very difficult to time a BEAT survey with unexpected good/bad weather and/or an event at a destination, therefore, it can be challenging to find an appropriate survey date that meets everyone's expectations in terms of what is viewed by the Officers on the day of the BEAT survey. The cost is for one BEAT survey on one day of the week and requests to undertake several BEAT surveys covering a number of different days/weather/events will change the cost.				

Capital Fund 2020/21 available for allocation = £35,249

Pennines Township Capital Fund 2020/21 - Proposed projects for decision					
Ref No	Name of Project	Ward	Fund Criteria & Priorities Met	Request Originator	Cost
PTC/01/20	Larchway and Rochdale Road Junction No Waiting At Any Time Restrictions	Smallbridge & Firgrove	Yes Place	Resident	£4,500
Project Description	Introduction of No Waiting At Any Time restrictions at the junction of Larchway/Rochdale Road, Rochdale as vehicles are parking in a blind spot and causing an obstruction. A review of the existing No Waiting restrictions (Monday to Friday 8am-6pm and Saturday 8am-1pm) to be undertaken and introduction of No Waiting At Any Time restrictions on the approach to both nearby Pelican crossings and change the times of operation to seven days a week on the section opposition Larchway. (Project brief at Appendix 4B)				
Risk implication	Risks that may change the estimate are objections being received during the legal process or amendments made to the scheme during its development resulting in additional costs. Also if the scheme requires amendments so as to satisfy the requirements of the emergency services or TfGM and if Ward Councillors require further information, justification or scheme content. The estimate does not include for dealing with or reporting back to committee any objections received during the legal process. To deal with objections may increase the cost due to staff time having to be expended. Also the estimate assumes that there will be no major amendments made to the scheme at committee resulting in the scheme requiring readvertising.				

Pennines Township Capital Fund 2020/21 - Proposed projects for decision					
Ref No	Name of Project	Ward	Fund Criteria & Priorities Met	Request Originator	Cost
PTC/02/20	Birch Road/Thimble Close Junction No Waiting At Any Time Restrictions	Smallbridge & Firgrove	Yes Place	Resident	£4,000
Project Description	Introduction of No Waiting At Any Time restrictions at the junction of Birch Road/Thimble Close, Rochdale as vehicles parking at this location are obstructing sight lines when exiting Thimble Close onto Birch Road. (Project brief at Appendix 4C)				
Risk implication	Risks that may change the estimate are objections being received during the legal process or amendments made to the scheme during its development resulting in additional costs. Also if the scheme requires amendments so as to satisfy the requirements of the emergency services or TfGM and if Ward Councillors require further information, justification or scheme content. The estimate does not include for dealing with or reporting back to committee any objections received during the legal process. To deal with objections may increase the cost due to staff time having to be expended. Also the estimate assumes that there will be no major amendments made to the scheme at committee resulting in the scheme requiring readvertising.				
PTC/05/20	Stonie Heyes Play Area Expansion	Smallbridge & Firgrove	Yes Place	Ward Councillors	£20,400
Project Description	Expansion of the existing Stonie Heyes Play Area, Smallbridge involving the extension of the fenced area and installation of an additional accessible roundabout and seesaw unit plus safety surfacing. An additional gate and post installation safety inspection fee will be required that Environmental Management will absorb within their budget provision together with the maintenance costs as part of the basic core provision equipment. (Project brief at Appendix 4D)				
Risk implication	Works cost will need to be tendered.				
PTC/06/20	Hollingworth Lake Play Area Upgrade	Littleborough Lakeside	Yes Place	Ward Councillors	£14,984
Project Description	Hollingworth Lake Play Area requires a major upgrade to meet safety standards and works would include the supply and installation of new wooden fencing, replacement of all the ropes on the trail and provision of bounded rubber mulch under all the wooden trail. Link4Life are investigating if the RSPCA will meet some or all of the costs as part of a wider Hollingworth Lake project. Environmental Management will return the funds to Pennines Township if secured from the RSPCA or Pennines Township will be given the option to select another Hollingworth Lake project or play area project to allocate the funds. (Project brief at Appendix 4E)				
Risk implication	Unexpected find on site. Environmental Management agree to meet any extra costs and the cost of post installation safety inspection. Rochdale Borough Council's procurement process requires three estimates to be obtained and the outcome of which may affect the cost.				
PTC/07/20	Temple Lane Traffic Calming	Littleborough Lakeside	Yes Place	Councillor Besford	£7,000
Project Description	Introduction of traffic calming measures on Temple Lane, Littleborough consisting of the installation of two road humps and associated signs for a 20mph zone to reduce the speeds of traffic along this route. (Project brief with plan at Appendix 4F)				
Risk implication	Estimate assumes the existing road surface is a good state of repair.				

Pennines Township Capital Fund 2020/21 - Proposed projects for decision					
Ref No	Name of Project	Ward	Fund Criteria & Priorities Met	Request Originator	Cost
PTC/08/20	Kiln Lane Waiting Restrictions	Milnrow & Newhey	Yes Place	Resident	£4,000
Project Description	Introduction of No Waiting At Any Time restrictions along the northerly side of Kiln Lane from its junction with Pennine Drive to its junction with Alpine Drive, Milnrow. Vehicles are obstructing sight lines and causing safety concerns for motorists exiting Lowhouse Farm access road. It is common practice for vehicles to park on the southerly side outside the properties on Kiln Lane that do not have driveways. The resident has mentioned that he may be willing to make a contribution to the cost of the lines. (Project brief with plan at Appendix 4G)				
Risk implication	Risks that may change the estimate are objections being received during the legal process or amendments made to the scheme during its development resulting in additional costs. Also if the scheme requires amendments so as to satisfy the requirements of the emergency services or TfGM and if Ward Councillors require further information, justification or scheme content. The estimate does not include for dealing with or reporting back to committee any objections received during the legal process. To deal with objections may increase the cost due to staff time having to be expended. Also the estimate assumes that there will be no major amendments made to the scheme at committee resulting in the scheme requiring readvertising.				
PTC/09/20	Clough Street and Heath Road Waiting Restrictions	Wardle & West Littleborough	Yes Place	Resident	£4,000
Project Description	Introduction of No Waiting At Any Time restrictions on the southerly side of Clough Street from its junction with Ramsden Road for a distance of 80 metres in a westerly direction. Highways state it would also be beneficial to continue these restrictions for a distance of 10 metres on both sides of Heath Road to protect this junction. Vehicles double parking on Clough Street from its junction with Ramsden Road up to and including its junction with Heath Road are obstructing sight lines and causing a hazard with the reduced width of the carriageway. (Project brief with plan at Appendix 4H)				
Risk implication	Risks that may change the estimate are objections being received during the legal process or amendments made to the scheme during its development resulting in additional costs. Also if the scheme requires amendments so as to satisfy the requirements of the emergency services or TfGM and if Ward Councillors require further information, justification or scheme content. The estimate does not include for dealing with or reporting back to committee any objections received during the legal process. To deal with objections may increase the cost due to staff time having to be expended. Also the estimate assumes that there will be no major amendments made to the scheme at committee resulting in the scheme requiring readvertising.				
PTC/10/20	William Street Extension of Waiting Restrictions	Wardle & West Littleborough	Yes Place	Resident/Highways	£4,000
Project Description	Introduction of an extension of No Waiting At Any Time restrictions on William Street, Wardle as the existing restrictions only protect approximately 5 metres of the junction along both sides of William Street and an extension of an additional 10 metres is required to effectively protect the junction. Vehicles are double parking on William Street close to the junction of Halifax Road (A58) and causing an obstruction and restricting sight lines. (Project brief at Appendix 4I)				
Risk implication	Risks that may change the estimate are objections being received during the legal process or amendments made to the scheme during its development resulting in additional costs. Also if the scheme requires amendments so as to satisfy the requirements of the emergency services or TfGM and if Ward Councillors require further information, justification or scheme content. The estimate does not include for dealing with or reporting back to committee any objections received during the legal process. To deal with objections may increase the cost due to staff time having to be expended. Also the estimate assumes that there will be no major amendments made to the scheme at committee resulting in the scheme requiring readvertising.				

Pennines Township Capital Fund 2020/21 - Proposed projects for decision

Ref No	Name of Project	Ward	Fund Criteria & Priorities Met	Request Originator	Cost
PTC/11/20	Todmorden Road Waiting Restrictions & Bus Stop Clearway	Littleborough Lakeside	Yes Place	Resident	£5,000
Project Description	Introduction of No Waiting At Any Time restrictions on both the east and west side of Todmorden Road to improve forward visibility for all motorists and maintain the free flow of traffic along the classified route. Implement a Bus Stop Clearway at the existing bus stop/shelter on the east side for a distance of 15 metres north of Punchbowl Bridge and for a distance of 190 metres south of Punchbowl Bridge. Restrictions on the west side from a point 65 metres west of Punchbowl Bridge to a point 10 metres north of Temple Lane. Highways state it would also be beneficial to continue these restrictions for a distance of 15 metres into Temple Lane on both sides to protect this junction from any obstructions. The extent of the restrictions ensures that the displacement of vehicles from around the junction do not cause an obstruction and do not disrupt the existing status quo and the locations vehicles have traditionally parked. (Project brief with plan at Appendix 4J)				
Risk implication	Risks that may change the estimate are objections being received during the legal process or amendments made to the scheme during its development resulting in additional costs. Also if the scheme requires amendments so as to satisfy the requirements of the emergency services or TfGM and if Ward Councillors require further information, justification or scheme content. The estimate does not include for dealing with or reporting back to committee any objections received during the legal process. To deal with objections may increase the cost due to staff time having to be expended. Also the estimate assumes that there will be no major amendments made to the scheme at committee resulting in the scheme requiring readvertising.				
PTC/12/20	Chadwick Close Area Waiting Restrictions	Milnrow & Newhey	Yes Place	Residents Association	£4,000
Project Description	Introduction of No Waiting At Any Time restrictions around the Chadwick Close area as vehicles are double parking along Sheriff Street, Royds Street, Chadwick Close and Royds Street, Milnrow and obstructing sight lines and causing access issues for residents of Chadwick Close and the safe passage of emergency vehicles, refuse wagons, etc. (Project brief at Appendix 4K)				
Risk implication	Risks that may change the estimate are objections being received during the legal process or amendments made to the scheme during its development resulting in additional costs. Also if the scheme requires amendments so as to satisfy the requirements of the emergency services or TfGM and if Ward Councillors require further information, justification or scheme content. The estimate does not include for dealing with or reporting back to committee any objections received during the legal process. To deal with objections may increase the cost due to staff time having to be expended. Also the estimate assumes that there will be no major amendments made to the scheme at committee resulting in the scheme requiring readvertising.				