

APPENDIX 4

ROCHDALE SOUTH TOWNSHIP FUNDS 2020/21

Projects Fund available for allocation = £42,170

Rochdale South Township Projects Fund 2020/21 - Proposed projects for decision					
Ref	Name of Project	Ward	Fund Criteria & Priorities Met	Request Originator	Cost
RP/12/20	Tackling Minds	Rochdale Township	Yes People	Tackling Minds	£2,874.46
Project Description	Tackling Minds Community Interest Company organise angling events to improve the health and wellbeing of the local community with a focus on increasing participation from people with mental health, addiction and recovery issues, experiencing social deprivation, disabilities and long-term health conditions. Working in partnership with eighteen other organisations within the Borough as part of a Social Prescribing Network, Tackling Minds aims to make their services accessible to the people that need it; providing our community with opportunities to take ownership of their health and wellbeing and to live healthier lives. The Angling Trust Get Fishing Award is incorporated into the Tackling Minds events that offer fun, friendly and safe fishing days for families and individuals of all abilities. Tackling Minds aims to supply each and every angler that completes the Get Fishing Award with their very own introductory start-up kit and keeps them engaged with informal and formal competitions, target species or a style of fishing and the opportunity to fish at numerous venues across the region. Tackling Minds plan to promote their services with the creation of a web site, advertising on social media, information pamphlets and establish their own merchandise line to generate funds to support their community projects. As a boroughwide project, this application has also been submitted to Heywood, Middleton and Pennines Townships to seek a contribution based on the number of people using their service from each of the Townships as identified in the application form. The grant amount required for the Rochdale Township wide element has been split pro rata between Rochdale South Township and Rochdale North Township. (Application form at Appendix 4A)				
Risk implication/ Alternatives considered	None				
RP/21/20	Football v Anti social behaviour at Burton Park (aka Sandy Brow)	Rochdale Township	Yes Place	Rochdale St Clements Football Club	£682.36
Project Description	Rochdale St Clement's Football Club arrange association football matches, deliver coaching and development for players to fulfil their potential and their facilities provide a safe and supportive environment for young people wishing to take part in sporting activities. Burton Park playing fields at Crowneast Street, Rochdale is leased by the club from Rochdale Council and the club are responsible for the pitches and extended changing rooms. A growing number of people are gaining entry and misusing the grounds. Irresponsible dog owners are allowing their dogs to foul and damage the pitches and when challenged by club members become aggressive and threatening. In addition, the gates have been damaged and motorbikes and quads have caused damage to the pitches. Installation of standard security mesh fencing at seven points of entry to the pitches will address this anti-social behaviour and make the pitches safe for players and club members. Cost split pro rata between Rochdale South Township and Rochdale North Township as issue raised within the application is relevant to wards in each Township. (Application form at Appendix 4B)				
Risk implication/ Alternatives considered	Rochdale Council's Estates Manager has advised the lease between Rochdale St Clement's Football Club and Rochdale Council requires the Council's consent prior to installation of fencing. Clause 25.1 states: "The Tenant shall not make any external or structural alteration or addition to the Property without the consent of the Landlord, such consent not to be unreasonably withheld and shall not make any opening in the boundary structure of the Property." (Property is defined as the land and buildings). In addition, the Council's consent as landlord is also required prior to the club as tenant submitting any planning application in respect of the property if the fencing requires planning permission.				

Rochdale South Township Projects Fund 2020/21 - Proposed projects for decision					
Ref	Name of Project	Ward	Fund Criteria & Priorities Met	Request Originator	Cost
RP/11/20	Chicane barrier and replace fence at Lock 24, Off Crawford Street	Kingsway	Yes Place & People	Councillor Shakil Ahmed	£2,178
Project Description	Supply and installation of missing sections of fence and metal chicane at Rochdale Canal/Crawford Street to prevent motorcycle access to the canal tow path. (Project brief at Appendix 4C)				
Risk implication/ Alternatives considered	None				
RP/15/20	Half Acre Drive and Linnet Hill Waiting Restrictions	Bamford	Yes Place	Ward Councillors	£4,500
Project Description	Introduction of No Waiting At Any Time restrictions on Half Acre Drive to Linnet Hill, Rochdale as double parking on this narrow carriageway is causing problems with gaining access to the estate. Also waiting restrictions at the junction of Linnet Hill and Half Acre Road. Vehicles parking near the junction with Bury Road are impeding the safe movement of traffic from Bury Road onto Half Acre Drive causing queueing on Bury Road. (Project brief at Appendix 4D)				
Risk implication/ Alternatives considered	Risks that may change the estimate are objections being received during the legal process or amendments made to the scheme during its development resulting in additional costs. Also if the scheme requires amendments so as to satisfy the requirements of the emergency services or TfGM and if Ward Councillors require further information, justification or scheme content. The estimate does not include for dealing with or reporting back to committee any objections received during the legal process. To deal with objections may increase the cost due to staff time having to be expended. Also the estimate assumes that there will be no major amendments made to the scheme at committee resulting in the scheme requiring readvertising.				
RP/19/20	Count Street/Kingsway Waiting Restrictions	Kingsway	Yes Place	Councillor Shakil Ahmed	£5,500
Project Description	Introduction of waiting restrictions on the northerly end of Count Street with localised junction protection of no waiting at any time and southerly end of Count Street with no waiting at any time at the junction with Kingsway and a single yellow line no waiting 9am-5pm along one side of the street up the junction with Newton Street. In addition, 10m no waiting at any time restrictions at the junction of Royds Street and Sandfield Street, Rochdale on all sides of the road. Complaints have been received about double parking and congestion in this built up area and the proposed introduction of restrictions will ensure traffic flow and reduce cars parking on footways. (Project brief at Appendix 4E)				
Risk implication/ Alternatives considered	Risks that may change the estimate are objections being received during the legal process or amendments made to the scheme during its development resulting in additional costs. Also if the scheme requires amendments so as to satisfy the requirements of the emergency services or TfGM and if Ward Councillors require further information, justification or scheme content. The estimate does not include for dealing with or reporting back to committee any objections received during the legal process. To deal with objections may increase the cost due to staff time having to be expended. Also the estimate assumes that there will be no major amendments made to the scheme at committee resulting in the scheme requiring readvertising.				

Rochdale South Township Projects Fund 2020/21 - Proposed projects for decision

Ref	Name of Project	Ward	Fund Criteria & Priorities Met	Request Originator	Cost
RP/22/20	Palatine Street Alleyway Street Light	Kingsway	Yes Place	Councillor Shakil Ahmed	£5,366.19
Project Description	Provision of an additional lighting point on a footpath between Palatine Street and Adrian Terrace, Rochdale that will require extending the existing PCN ducting and installation of additional duct to a new column location. The new six metre column fitted with an energy efficient LED lantern will enhance the lighting in the area and improve public safety. (Project brief at Appendix 4F)				
Risk implication/ Alternatives considered	The estimate may change if the street lighting column, ducting or cabling proposed location is affected once the work has started on site due to unforeseen circumstances.				
RP/24/20	British Legion Grave Memorial Restoration	Rochdale Township	Yes Place	Member of public	£1,366.08
Project Description	Restoration of the British Legion Grave for soldiers who died between 1914 and 1918 in the First World War located in Rochdale Cemetery involving commissioning a local experienced mason to clean the memorial, check/reset loose kerbing and repaint lettering. Works will improve the condition and visual appearance of the British Legion Grave and safeguard the future of this memorial in both its social and historical context as the community's chosen method of remembrance and important source of information for future generations. Cost split pro rata between Rochdale North Township and Rochdale South Township as Rochdale Township wide project. (Photos of current condition of the British Legion Grave at Appendix 4G)				
Risk implication/ Alternatives considered	None				
RP/25/20	Bury Road and Sandy Lane Junction Investigation	Bamford	Yes Place	Ward Councillors	£15,000
Project Description	The provision of pedestrian facilities at the junction of Bury Road and Sandy Lane, Rochdale would improve walking links within the area and pedestrian safety. Highways to develop detailed proposals to improve the existing signalised junction of Bury Road and Sandy Lane with the primary aim of introducing controlled pedestrian facilities. Preliminary traffic modelling has been undertaken to assess the effect introducing controlled crossing facilities would have on the junction. The modelling has identified two possible schemes which would allow the introduction of pedestrian facilities and also improve traffic flow through the junction. The detailed design of two potential options requires topographical surveys, investigation of existing underground utilities, fully assess the proposed geometrical layout, produce detailed traffic signal design by TfGM and produce detailed cost estimates to upgrade the junction (Project brief at Appendix 4H)				
Risk implication/ Alternatives considered	Estimate assumes that there will be no major amendments made to the scheme at committee and Ward Councillors do not require further information, justification or scheme content. The two proposed designs cannot be progressed due to unforeseen issues and technical reasons requiring the developing of alternative proposals.				