

APPENDIX 4

ROCHDALE SOUTH TOWNSHIP FUNDS 2022/23

Projects Fund available for allocation = £39,976

| Rochdale South Township Projects Fund 2022/23 - Proposed projects for decision |   |           |                                |  |                 |
|--|---|-----------|--------------------------------|--|-----------------|
| Ref  | Name of Project   | Ward      | Fund Criteria & Priorities Met | Request Originator                             | Cost            |
| RP/02/22   | Manchester Road traffic survey for controlled pedestrian crossing   | Castleton | Yes<br>Place                   | Ward Councillor                                | £2,800          |
| <b>Project Description</b>   | Traffic and pedestrian survey to investigate the feasibility of a controlled pedestrian crossing in the vicinity of Vicarage Road North and Trub Farm Road, Castleton after safety concerns raised after a recent traffic collision involving a child pedestrian. Surveys to be undertaken along a section of Manchester Road to determine vehicle speeds and volumes of pedestrians with the results used to identify if there is sufficient demand and a suitable location for a pedestrian crossing and also determine compliance levels with the existing speed limit. Factors that need to be considered for a pedestrian crossing are the quality of the street lighting, proximity to junctions, the extents and impact of the controlled zones which prevent stopping and parking for 20 metres either side of the crossing and the proximity of existing bus stops and potential relocations. A detailed site analysis, scheme design and road safety audit to consider these factors would be required at additional cost to Rochdale South Township to progress. A report will be prepared from the results of the surveys that will provide options/recommendations. (Project brief at Appendix 4A) |           |                                |  |                 |
| <b>Risk implication/ Alternatives considered</b>                               | Equipment is vandalised requiring additional visits. Estimate may change if Ward Councillors require further information, justification or scheme content and also if the committee requires a report presenting the findings of the surveys.   |           |                                |  |                 |
| RP/14/22 & W/04/22   | Springfield Park mere improvements  | Bamford   | Yes<br>Place                   | Ward Councillors & Friends of Springfield Park | See description |
| <b>Project Description</b>   | Removal of overgrown vegetation at the edge of the Springfield Park mere and a section of the fence to improve sight lines into the mere and the habitat for wildlife. Environmental Management Grounds Maintenance staff will manage the ongoing maintenance of the area once it has been cleared. <b>Friends of Springfield Park have agreed a contribution of £1,000 for this project. Rochdale South Township Delegated Sub Committee are asked to approve the allocation of £1,000 from Bamford Ward Fund and a contribution of £3,922 from Rochdale South Township Projects Fund.</b> (Project brief at Appendix 4B)  |           |                                |  |                 |
| <b>Risk implication/ Alternatives considered</b>                               | None  |           |                                |  |                 |

Capital Fund available for allocation = £103,963

| Rochdale South Township Capital Fund 2022/23 - Proposed projects for decision |   |           |                                |                    |                 |
|---|---|-----------|--------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| Ref   | Name of Project   | Ward      | Fund Criteria & Priorities Met | Request Originator | Cost            |
| TC/02/22 & M/79/21  | Channing Street and Moss Street traffic calming measures and waiting restrictions   | Kingsway  | Yes<br>Place                   | Ward Councillors   | See description |
| <b>Project Description</b>  | Introduction of traffic calming measures and parking restrictions to reduce vehicle speeds and prevent indiscriminate parking in the Channing Street and Moss Street, Rochdale area. Installation of an additional round top road hump on Channing Street between Moss Street and McNaught Street, Rochdale to reduce vehicle speeds approaching the bend onto Moss Street and No Waiting at Any Time restrictions to protect the junction/bend from parked vehicles causing an obstruction. <b>Rochdale South Township Delegated Sub Committee are asked to approve the allocation of £1,509 from Kingsway Members Fund and a contribution of £5,941 from Rochdale South Township Capital Fund.</b> (Project brief at Appendix 4C)   |           |                                |                    |                 |
| <b>Risk implication/ Alternatives considered</b>                              | The estimate is based on road humps being constructed and does not include the cost for any pre-surfacing to the carriageway that may be required or any other variations to the proposal that may be affected by on site groundwork, ie the proposed proximity of road humps be affected by existing driveways, ironworks and gullies, etc. Contractors rates won't be applicable for the long term and will not be relevant next financial year. A contingency of 15% is applied to the estimate should it be required to fund construction after March 2022 and any monies will be refunded to the Township if not required/used. Risks that may change the estimate are objections being received during the legal process or amendments made to the scheme during its development that result in additional costs. Also if the scheme requires amendments so as to satisfy the requirements of the emergency services or TfGM and if Ward Councillors require further information, justification or scheme content. The estimate does not include for dealing with or reporting back to committee any objections received during the legal process. To deal with objections may increase the cost due to staff time having to be expended. Also the estimate assumes that there will be no major amendments made to the scheme at committee resulting in the scheme requiring readvertising. |           |                                |                    |                 |
| TC/04/22  | Buttercup Drive waiting restrictions  | Castleton | Yes<br>Place                   | Ward Councillor    | £4,000          |
| <b>Project Description</b>  | Introduction of no waiting at any time restrictions 15m along Buttercup Drive, Rochdale to provide junction protection from Chamber House Drive to increase sight lines and uninterrupted flow of traffic. The restrictions will prohibit vehicles from parking along both sides of Buttercup Drive and up to the junction with Chamber House Drive and create a safer entrance and egress at this junction. (Project brief at Appendix 4D)   |           |                                |                    |                 |
| <b>Risk implication/ Alternatives considered</b>                              | Risks that may change the estimate are objections being received during the legal process or amendments made to the scheme during its development resulting in additional costs. Also if the scheme requires amendments so as to satisfy the requirements of the emergency services or TfGM and if Ward Councillors require further information, justification or scheme content. The estimate does not include for dealing with or reporting back to committee any objections received during the legal process. To deal with objections may increase the cost due to staff time having to be expended. Also the estimate assumes that there will be no major amendments made to the scheme at committee resulting in the scheme requiring readvertising.  |           |                                |                    |                 |

## Rochdale South Township Capital Fund 2022/23 - Proposed projects for decision

| Ref  | Name of Project   | Ward                   | Fund Criteria & Priorities Met | Request Originator   | Cost            |
|--|---|------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|
| TC/06/22 & W/02/22                               | Alley behind Lambeth Terrace reconstruction   | Bamford                | No Place                       | Ward Councillors     | See description |
| <b>Project Description</b>                       | Reconstruction of the existing unmade rear alleyway behind Lambeth Terrace, Rochdale that will involve the installation of kerbing, drainage and bitmac surfacing. <b>Rochdale South Township Delegated Sub Committee are being asked to approve the allocation of £1,000 from Bamford Ward Fund and a contribution of £23,813 from Rochdale South Township Capital Fund.</b> (Project brief at Appendix 4E)  |                        |                                |                      |                 |
| <b>Risk implication/ Alternatives considered</b> | Diversion of utility apparatus required. Alley behind Lambeth Terrace is private land with ownership between each individual and Abraham Moon and Sons (see plan with private land shaded pink at Appendix 4E). It is not Rochdale Borough Council's responsibility to repair and maintain this or any other private land. Ownership of the land has been established via the Land Register and it is the individuals/Abraham Moon and Sons who are responsible for its repair and maintenance. Permission would be required from the land owners before work can be undertaken. If the Council was to reconstruct this area of land it would make itself vulnerable for all future maintenance liability for which there is no budget. If authorised it sets a precedent for claims for maintenance by the Council of other private land in the borough which are in a similar condition. Rochdale South Township Fund's terms and conditions state under activities that may not be funded 6(f) improvements to private land, unless there is a demonstrable community benefit. |                        |                                |                      |                 |
| TC/09/22   | Springbank Lane/Norden Road junction waiting restrictions   | Bamford                | Yes Place                      | Member of the public | £4,500          |
| <b>Project Description</b>                       | Introduction of no waiting at any time restrictions along Norden Road to provide 15m of junction protection and into Springbank Lane on both sides to prevent indiscriminate parking reducing visibility for vehicles exiting/entering the junction, reduce the risk to pedestrians and allow the free flow of traffic. (Project brief at Appendix 4F)  |                        |                                |                      |                 |
| <b>Risk implication/ Alternatives considered</b> | Risks that may change the estimate are objections being received during the legal process or amendments made to the scheme during its development resulting in additional costs. Also if the scheme requires amendments so as to satisfy the requirements of the emergency services or TfGM and if Ward Councillors require further information, justification or scheme content. The estimate does not include for dealing with or reporting back to committee any objections received during the legal process. To deal with objections may increase the cost due to staff time having to be expended. Also the estimate assumes that there will be no major amendments made to the scheme at committee resulting in the scheme requiring readvertising.  |                        |                                |                      |                 |
| TC/11/22   | Darlington Road traffic calming measures  | Balderstone & Kirkholt | Yes Place                      | Ward Councillors     | £10,500         |
| <b>Project Description</b>                       | Introduction of traffic calming measures to address concerns about vehicle speeds on Darlington Road, Rochdale consisting of three round top road humps along the straight sections of the road. Darlington Road is subject to a 20mph zone currently enforced by 20mph repeater signs and the road benefits from the majority of its extents being one way and the arrangement of the road having a series of bends to further reduce vehicle speeds. (Project brief at Appendix 4G)   |                        |                                |                      |                 |
| <b>Risk implication/ Alternatives considered</b> | Risks that may change the estimate are objections to the scheme being received that require reports to Township and Cabinet, amendments to the scheme once it has been advertised and the poor state of repair of the existing road surface.  |                        |                                |                      |                 |